

**REVISED**



Contact: Lauren Buckler  
410.767.3174  
lauren.buckler@maryland.gov

**2-AE. ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING SERVICES**  
*Department of General Services*

**Contract ID:** Professional Service Agreement for Consultants Services to Provide  
Technical Reviews of Public Schools and Community College Projects  
Project No. DGS-17-017-IQC, ADPICS No. See Below

**Description:** The Contractor shall provide technical design review focusing on compliance with codes, increase of clarity, and reduction of conflicts for design development and construction document submission for public schools and community colleges projects. Individual assignments will be based on the need for design development and construction document reviews during the design of capital projects. Contracts shall be awarded to multiple Offerors who submit Proposals that have been determined to be qualified with a ranking of 85% or higher. Awards will be made in two groups of contractors 1. Certified Small Business Reserve Contractors (noted by asterisk) and 2. All others. Awards will be made to 4 qualified offerors 2 in each category.

**Procurement Method:** Architectural & Engineering

<i>Bids or Proposals</i>	<i>Qualification Score</i>	<i>Project Limit</i>	<i>Contract Limit</i>
<b>MBP- Columbia, MD</b>	<b>163.7/96%</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>
<b>Alpha Corporation - Baltimore, MD</b>	<b>152.8/90%</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>
Gaudreau, Inc. – Baltimore, MD	139.2/82%	N/A	N/A

<i>SBR Bids or Proposals</i>	<i>Qualification Score</i>	<i>Project Limit</i>	<i>Contract Limit</i>
<b>*ATI, Inc. - Columbia, MD</b>	<b>161.5/95%</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>
<b>*Brudis &amp; Assoc. , Inc. – Columbia, MD</b>	<b>150.3/87%</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>
*K.Dixon Arch – Columbia, MD	145.2/85%	N/A	N/A
*CSI Engineering – Laurel, MD	128.5/76%	N/A	N/A

**Award:** MBP  
ATI, Inc. - (SBR# 293892)  
Alpha Corporation  
Brudis & Associates, Inc. – (SBR# 302983)

**Term:** 01/01/2018 -12/31/2019  
(w/1-2 year renewal option)

**REVISED**

**2-AE. ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING SERVICES (cont'd)**

**Original Contract Amount:** \$500,000 Contract Limit per Firm 4 firms @  
\$500,000.00 = \$2,000,000.00.  
\$500,000 Contract Limit per Firm 4 firms =  
\$2,000,000.00 Option Renewal  
\$4,000,000.00 Potential contract total

**MBE Participation:** 30%

**Performance Bond:** N/A

**Resident Businesses:** Yes

**Remarks:** Five of the seven firms that submitted technical proposals achieved the minimum qualifying score of 85% and were recommended by the Qualification Committee for price proposal negotiations. It was determined that a Second Phase Review Panel evaluation was not required. At the meeting on September 21, 2017, the General Professional Services Selection Board (GPSSB) approved the Qualification Committee's recommendation of the ranking of the firms and authorized negotiations with the top ranked firms. The Qualification Committee's recommendation of the ranking of the firms and authorized negotiations with the top ranked firms of Alpha Corporation, ATI, Inc., Brudis & Associates, Inc. and MBP.

The price proposal submitted by each firm reflected billing rates for each discipline required for this contract. The billing rates were inclusive of direct salaries, fringe benefits, overhead, profit, materials, and all other costs, direct and indirect. The fee for each project/task order awarded under the proposed agreement will be negotiated and the contract will be approved and executed by the State individually. Projects/task orders will normally be awarded on a rotating basis beginning with the number one ranked firm. Once the project award process has been initiated with a firm in the normal rotation, the next opportunity for project award will go to the next firm in the rotation unless the unit issuing the assignment finds that:

1. The firm is unable to perform the assignment;
2. The hours or fees proposed by the firm for services needed cannot successfully be negotiated to an amount the State considers fair and reasonable,
3. Another firm has special experience or qualifications, including geographic proximity to the site for which services are needed, that make it in the best interest of the State to give the assignment to another firm, or
4. Assignment to another firm would tend to balance to a greater extent, among firms on the indefinite quantity contract list being used, the fees paid or payable for work assignments previously issued.

The services performed shall be consistent with prudent professional architectural and engineering practices and in accordance with the latest State of Maryland Codes, Regulations, and Department of General Services' design standards.